



100% SAF

What about airport infrastructure?

Tim Moerenhout TCCW - PtX Nov 6th 2024

Top player in the European airport industry



22,2 million passengers *2019: 26 million Passengers*



220 destination86 countries



701 K tonnes of cargo



85,000 jobs direct & indirect



335 companies

Fuel infrastructure at BRU

How it is today...



- BRU is fed by the NATO pipeline system (mass balance principle)
- Infrastructure is owned by BAC, but operated by third party (M&O agreement)
- Hydrant system or fuel truck to fill the airplanes
- → Every party can supply fuel (incl. blended SAF) when eligibility requirements are met!



Fuel infrastructure at BRU

Fuel infrastructure is airport specific, however....

Topic	BRU	AIA	BUD	TLS
Fed by	Pipeline (several entries) Truck	Pipeline (several suppliers) Truck	Pipeline (one refinary) Railway	Truck (several suppliers)
Storage system	Big fuel farm	Medium fuel farm	Small fuel farm	Small fuel farm
Off-airport ownership	Third party	Multi suppliers	One supplier	Multi suppliers
Airport system	Hydrant system Fuel truck	Hydrant system	Fuel truck	Fuel truck
On-airport ownership	Airport	Third party	One supplier	Airport

One similarity \rightarrow only fuels compliant to jet A1 properties are permitted (ASTM 1655)



100% SAF vs blended and Drop-in vs non-drop-in fuel

```
SBC (100% SAF)
does not contain aromatics (different density, lubricity and composition) is not compatible with aircraft fuel system (engine) has more non-CO<sub>2</sub> benefits (f.e. contrails)
```

- → Blend to meet composition and requirements (standards)
- → Blend = SBC + conventional aviation fuel (jet A1)

Today a blend will always be a drop-in fuel



Drop-in vs non-drop-in fuel

Topic	Drop-in	Non-Drop-in	
Composition	Fully formulated Jet A1	Subset of Jet A1	
Fleet applicability	Fleet wide fuel	Designated aircraft/engines only	
Infrastructure	Handled, stored, used like Jet A1	New, separate supply chain needed	
Example	Blended SAF, jet A1	100% SAF (incl. e-fuels), H2, electric	

New product/fuel and new infrastructure has a BIG IMPACT

New regulations, procedures, safety & security measurements

Space and location required (masterplanning)

New (fuel) supply chains

High investments/budget required



Temporarily transition

- Immediately all-in → not feasible → feasibility studies on masterplanning needed
- Temporarily transition:
 - align with timeline: when sufficient demand to foresee temporarily measurements (risk mitigation)
 - different possibilities:
 - to fuel the airplane (f.e.: transport by bowsers)
 - o to store fuel (separate storage tank)
- Start small → pilot testing to get familiar with new products and way of working!



Conclusion

- An airport will always try to serve EVERY aircraft fuel system, without any limitation. However is every fuel system (blended SAF, 100% SAF, eSAF, H2, electric) achievable?
- Masterplanning will play key role in the adaption of airport infrastructure → feasibility studies/research needed!
- The 'oldest' aircraft fuel system on the airport will decide
 - → Decision making by the airport on fuel availability?
 - → Temporarily transition
- **Technological evolution**: 100% SAF <u>with</u> aromatics to match CAF composition & aircraft fuel system







Let's get in touch!

















